Lattice QCD studies of multi-strange baryon-bayon interactions

Kenji Sasaki (CCS, University of Tsukuba)

for HAL QCD collaboration



HAL (Hadrons to Atomic nuclei from Lattice) QCD Collaboration

S. Aoki **B.** Charron T. Doi **F.** Etminan T. Hatsuda (YITP)(Univ. of Tokyo) (RIKEN) (Univ. of Tsukuba) (RIKEN) Y. Ikeda T. Inoue N. Ishii K. Murano H. Nemura (RIKEN) (Nihon Univ.) (Univ. of Tsukuba) (YITP) (Univ. of Tsukuba)

M. Yamada (Univ. of Tsukuba)

Baryon-baryon interactions are key to understand nuclear structures and astrophysical phenomena

Inputs for nuclear structure / reaction, astrophysical phenomenon

NN interaction

Properties of BB interactions are not known very well except for NN interaction

Realistic nucleon-nucleon potential is constructed by fitting large amount of NN scattering data

YN / YY interaction

It is important to know structure of hypernucleus and deep inside of neutron star It is not easy to access the multi-strangeness interaction experimentally. Experimental data are insufficient to determine parameters in phenomenological YN and YY interaction model.

Lattice QCD results for YN and YY interactions are highly awaited

Strangeness brought the deeper understanding of BB interaction.



Almost forbidden state



In this study, we focus on the S=-4 BB interaction, $\Xi\Xi$ interaction.





Long range part

Meson exchange contribution is dominant

When meson masses decrease, range of potential becomes longer.

Decreasing ud-quark masses means that the potential range extends

Otsuki, Tamagaki, Yasuno PTPS (1965)578 Oka, Shimizu and Yazaki NPA464 (1987)

Quark degrees of freedom is important Quark Pauli principle

Color magnetic interaction (replusive for all BB channels except for H dibaryon channel)

Meson exchange interaction

Leading contributions are given by π and η exchange contributions

Weaker attraction

 $\circ \pi$ exchange contribution in $\Xi\Xi$ is much weaker than NN

 \circ η meson mass is much heavier than the pion mass

N

$$\pi, \eta$$
 N
 π, η N
 $\pi: g^2 f_{\pi}(r)$
 $\eta: \left[\frac{4\alpha - 1}{\sqrt{3}}\right]^2 g^2 f_{\eta}(r)$
 Ξ π, η Ξ $\pi: [-(1 - 2\alpha)]^2 g^2 f_{\pi}(r)$
 π, η Ξ $\eta: \left[\frac{-1 + 2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}\right]^2 g^2 f_{\eta}(r)$
 $\alpha = 2/5$
Color magnetic interaction (CMI) and repulsive core

One gluon exchange
Dominant contribution at short range region

$$V_{OGE}^{CMI} \propto \frac{1}{m_{q1}m_{q2}} \langle \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \rangle f(r_{ij})$$

If quark mass decreases, CMI contributions are enhanced.

Short range repulsion could be increased...

Iso-singlet channel

We can access the potential of 10 irreducible representation.

Potential of 10 irrep is expected to be repulsive due to the quark Pauli effect. It is contrary to NN system where deuteron bound state exist.

Iso-triplet channel

Potential of flavor 27 plet is expected to be strongly attractive

¹S_o in NN system is virtual state

•EFT calculation found that the bound $\Xi\Xi$ state in 1S0 channel.

J. Haidenbauer Nucl.Phys.A881(2012)44

Meson exchange model calculations.

Bound or unbound...

M. Yamaguchi PTP105(2001)627

Y. Fujiwara PPNP 58(2007)439

Bound EE state was found by Lattice QCD simulation at m=389MeV S.R. Beane PRD85(2012)054511

Search for the bound $\Xi\Xi$ state is interesting to understand more about BB interaction

QCD to hadronic interactions

HAL QCD method can derive baryon-baryon potentaial directly from QCD

QCD Lagrangian

$$L_{QCD} = \overline{q} (i \gamma_{\mu} D^{\mu} - m) q + \frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu}$$

Lattice QCD simulation



Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter wave function

Definition : equal time NBS w.f.

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{v}}(E,t-t_0,\vec{r}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle 0|B_i(t,\vec{x}+\vec{r})B_j(t,\vec{x})|E,\mathbf{v},t_0\rangle$$

E : Total energy of system v : other observables which needs to form the complete set

Four point correlator

$$F_{B_1B_2}(\vec{r},t) = \langle 0[T[B_1(\vec{r},t)B_2(0,t)(\bar{B}_2\bar{B}_1)_{t_0}]] \rangle = \sum_n A_n \Psi_n e^{-E_nt}$$

Local composite interpolating operators

$$p = udu \quad n = udd \quad \Xi^{0} = sus \quad \Xi^{-} = sds$$

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} [dsu + sud - 2uds] \qquad \qquad B = \epsilon^{abc} (q_{a}^{T} C \gamma_{5} q_{b}) q_{c}$$

$$\Sigma^{+} = -usu \quad \Sigma^{0} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} [dsu + usd] \quad \Sigma^{-} = -dsd$$

NBS wave function has the same asymptotic form with quantum mechanics. (NBS wave function is characterized from phase shift)

$$\Psi(t-t_0,\vec{r}) \simeq A \frac{\sin(pr+\delta(E))}{pr}$$

▲

Schrödinger equation

Define the energy-independent potential in Schrödinger equation (most general form)

$$\left(\frac{k^2}{2\mu} - H_0\right)\Psi(\vec{x}) = \int U(\vec{x}, \vec{y})\Psi(\vec{y})d^3y$$



Recent development : Time dependent method.

We replace ψ to *R* defined below

$$\partial_t R_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, E) \equiv \partial_t \left(\frac{A \Psi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, E) e^{-Et}}{e^{-m_A t} e^{-m_B t}} \right) \propto -\frac{p_{\alpha}^2}{2 \mu_{\alpha}} R_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, E)$$

Performing the derivative expansion for the interaction kernel

$$\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-H_0\right)R(\vec{x})=\int U(\vec{x},\vec{y})R(\vec{y})d^3y$$

> Taking the leading order of derivative expansion of non-local potential

$$U(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \simeq V_0(\vec{x})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) + V_1(\vec{x}, \nabla)\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \cdots$$

Finally local potential was obtained as

$$V(\vec{x}) = -\frac{\partial_t R(\vec{r})}{R(\vec{v})} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\nabla^2 R(\vec{x})}{R(\vec{x})}$$

Numerical setup

- 2+1 flavor gauge configurations by PACS-CS collaboration.
 - RG improved gauge action & O(a) improved Wilson-clover quark action
 - $\beta = 1.90, a^{-1} = 2.176 [GeV], 32^3x64 \text{ lattice}, L = 2.902 [fm].$
 - $\kappa_s = 0.13640$ is fixed, $\kappa_{ud} = 0.13700$, 0.13727 and 0.13754 are chosen.

Flat wall source is considered to produce S-wave B-B state.

The KEK computer system A & B resources are used.

In unit	Esb 1	Esb 2	Esb 3
π	701±1	570±2	411±2
K	789±1	713±2	635±2
$m_{\pi}^{\prime}/m_{K}^{\prime}$	0.89	0.80	0.65
N	1585±5	1411±12	1215±12
Λ	1644±5	1504±10	1351± 8
Σ	1660±4	1531±11	1400±10
Ξ	1710±5	1610± 9	1503 ± 7

u,d quark masses lighter





S=-4 channels



Kenji Sasaki (University of Tsukuba) for HAL QCD collaboration



Belong to 27 plet in SU(3) limit

Qualitative behavior is similar to NN potential

Short range repulsion is increasing,

but no clear difference between potentials measured in each configurations

$\Xi\Xi$ channel ${}^{1}S_{0}$ I=1 : phase shifts



Phase shift shows an attractive interaction Attraction becomes weaker as decreasing light quark mass

Summary

 We showed preliminary results of S= -4 BB potentials with L=3fm.
 Qualitatively, potentials are not so much different from the potential in SU(3) limit reported by Prof. Inoue.
 We can see quark mass dependence of potentials

Enhancement of short range core.

Potential range is not clearly extended.

Ruber Ruber

Future works

- Increase statistics
- Separation of tensor potential in spin triplet channel
- Try to find whether ${}^{1}S_{0} \equiv \Xi$ bound state is exist or not.

backups





$\Xi\Xi$ channel ${}^{3}S_{1}$ I=0

$\Xi\Xi$ channel ${}^{1}S_{0}$ I=1

Esb1 : mπ= 701 MeV Esb2 : mπ= 570 MeV Esb3 : mπ= 411 MeV

Belong to 27 plet in SU(3) limit

Qualitative behavior is similar to NN potential

