# Correlation functions of atomic nuclei in Lattice QCD II

#### Jana Günther Balint Toth Lukas Varnhorst

Bergische Universität Wuppertal

July 29, 2013

(E)

э

#### Introduction

#### Complicated multi-baron-systems

More quark sources Projection to spin states

#### Atomic nuclei

Strategy of computation Comparison with naïve method

#### Conclusion

A 32 b

## Correlation functions of atomic nuclei

The correlation function of a nucleus with  $N_p$  protons and  $N_n$  neutrons is defined as

$$C_{N_p,N_n}(\vec{x},t) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{N_p} P_{\alpha_i}(\vec{x},t) \prod_{j=1}^{N_n} N_{\alpha_j}(\vec{x},t) \prod_{k=1}^{N_p} \overline{P}_{\overline{\alpha}_k}(\vec{0},0) \prod_{l=1}^{N_n} \overline{N}_{\overline{\alpha}_l}(\vec{0},0) \right\rangle,$$

 $P_{\alpha} = \varepsilon^{abc} u^{a}_{\alpha} (u^{b}_{\beta} (C\gamma_{5})_{\beta\gamma} d^{c}_{\gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\alpha} = \varepsilon^{abc} d^{a}_{\alpha} (u^{b}_{\beta} (C\gamma_{5})_{\beta\gamma} d^{c}_{\gamma}).$ 

In the last talk by Jana Günther a method was introduced to construct the correlation function recursively.

A B M A B M

## The ingredients for the recursive algorithm

Multi-baryon correlation functions can be written as

$$C(t) = \underbrace{f_{B_1}^{q_1, q_2, q_3} \dots f_{B_N}^{q_1, q_2, q_3}}_{F^{(N)}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} G^{B_1} \dots G^{B_N} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)}_{L^{(N)}}$$

The objects in this formula have the following meaning:

- *f*<sup>q<sub>1</sub>,q<sub>2</sub>,q<sub>3</sub></sup>: Block of three quark propagators contracted at the sink to
   form a baryon.
- $G^{B_i}$ : The spinor- and color-structure of the baryons at the source.
- *F*: The outer product of the  $f_{B_i}^{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ .
- L: The list of contributing components of *F*.

Both L and the antisymmerized version  $F_{-}$  of F can be constructed separately via a recursion:

$$L^{(n+1)} = L^{(n)} \bullet G_{B_{n+1}} \qquad F_{-}^{(n+1)} = F_{-}^{(n)} \bullet f_{B_{n+1}}^{q_1,q_2,q_3}$$

• • = • • = •

# Complicated multi-baron-systems

- The simplest form of the recursive algorithm can deal only with special cases, for which
  - all quarks are from a single spatial source.
  - the total number of quarks of each flavor does not exceeds 12.
- Many interesting systems do not fall into this class.
- All this restrictions can be circumvented.

(E)

More quark sources Projection to spin states

#### More quark sources

Up to now the objects  $f_B^{q_1,q_2,q_3}(t,\delta,\xi^{(q_1)},\xi^{(q_2)},\xi^{(q_3)})$  and  $G^B(\alpha,\xi^{(q_1)},\xi^{(q_2)},\xi^{(q_3)})$  do not dependent of the quark sources and sinks.



伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

page 6

## More quark sources

To allow for several quark sources, the following must be changed:

- 1. The contractions between the objects f and G must allow for quark propagation from every baryon at the source to every baryon at the sink.
- 2. f and G must explicitly depend on the quark source.

The first condition can be met as follows:

- The indices ξ are promoted to color-spinor-source/-sink-indices: ξ = source/sink(ξ) ⊗ spinor(ξ) ⊗ color(ξ).
- $\xi$  can take  $12N_{\text{source}}$  values instead of 12 values.

★ E ► < E ►</p>

## Projection to spin-states

- ► The correlation function [C(t)]<sup>α1···αn</sup><sub>δ1</sub> has a large number of spinor component.
- In practice it is not necessary to calculate the full tensor, but only the projections of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}(t) = \sum_{lpha_i, \delta_i} [\mathcal{C}(t)]^{lpha_1 \cdots lpha_n}_{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_n} \mathcal{M}^{lpha_1 \cdots lpha_n}_{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_n}.$$

► This can be calculated efficiently by modifying the list *L*:

$$(n_{B_{a}}! n_{B_{b}}! ...)^{2} L_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{A}^{(B_{a})}\{\delta\}, \mathbf{A}^{(B_{b})}\{\delta\}, ..., \mathbf{A}^{(u)}\{\xi\}, \mathbf{A}^{(d)}\{\xi\}, \mathbf{A}^{(s)}\{\xi\})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{A}^{(B_{a})}\{\alpha\}, \mathbf{A}^{(B_{b})}\{\alpha\}, ..., \mathbf{A}^{(B_{b})}\{\alpha\}, ..., \mathbf{A}^{(u)}\{\xi\}, \mathbf{A}^{(d)}\{\xi\}, \mathbf{A}^{(s)}\{\xi\})$$

$$\times \mathcal{M}_{-}(\mathbf{A}^{(B_{a})}\{\alpha\}, \mathbf{A}^{(B_{b})}\{\alpha\}, ..., \mathbf{A}^{(B_{a})}\{\delta\}, \mathbf{A}^{(B_{b})}\{\delta\}, ...)$$

一日

More quark sources Projection to spin states

#### Projection to spin-states



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

More quark sources Projection to spin states

#### Projection to spin-states





・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

## Projection to spin-states

This approach has two advantages:

- One does not have to store the complete correlation function at any time.
- ► The computation of some intermediate components may not be necessary because the contraction of *M* with *L* cancels its contribution.



通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Strategy of computation Comparison with naïve method

# Atomic Nuclei

- QCD as the theory of strong interaction should predict the masses and properties of atomic nuclei.
- One important application of the presented algorithm is the calculation of correlation functions of atomic nuclei.
- This special case is to be presented in detail here.

(E)

#### Nucleon operators

For creating and annihilating of nucleons the following interpolating operators are used commonly:

$$\begin{split} P_{\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \, (\Gamma_1)_{\alpha\beta} u_{\beta;a} \left[ u_{\gamma;b} (\Gamma_2)_{\gamma\delta} d_{\delta;c} \right], \\ N_{\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \, (\Gamma_1)_{\alpha\beta} d_{\beta;a} \left[ u_{\gamma;b} (\Gamma_2)_{\gamma\delta} d_{\delta;c} \right] \\ \overline{P}^{\alpha} &= \varepsilon^{abc} \, (\Gamma_1)_{\alpha\beta} \overline{u}^{\beta;a} \left[ \overline{u}^{\gamma;b} (\Gamma_2)^{\gamma\delta} \overline{d}^{\delta;c} \right], \\ \overline{N}^{\alpha} &= \varepsilon^{abc} \, (\Gamma_1)^{\alpha\beta} \overline{d}^{\beta;a} \left[ \overline{u}^{\gamma;b} (\Gamma_2)^{\gamma\delta} \overline{d}^{\delta;c} \right] \end{split}$$

There are two important choices of the  $\Gamma_i$  matrices that will be discussed here:

- 1. The fully relativistic choice  $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{1}$  and  $\Gamma_2 = C\gamma_5$ .
- 2. The non relativistic case  $\Gamma_1 = P_{nr}$  and  $\Gamma_2 = C\gamma_5 P_{nr}$  with  $P_{nr} = \frac{1+\gamma_4}{2}$ .

くぼう くほう くほう

Strategy of computation Comparison with naïve method

## Recursive relations for nuclei

A nucleus can be defined by the number of protons  $N_P$  and the number of neutrons  $N_N$ . This yields a two dimensional recursive scheme:

$$L^{(n_{P}+1,n_{N})} = L^{(n_{P},n_{N})} \bullet G_{P}, \quad (a)$$

$$L^{(n_{P}+1,n_{N})} = L^{(n_{P},n_{N})} \bullet G_{N}, \quad (b)$$

$$F^{(n_{P}+1,n_{N})}_{-} = F^{(n_{P},n_{N})}_{-} \bullet f^{u,u,d}_{P}, \quad (c)$$

$$F^{(n_{P},n_{N}+1)}_{-} = F^{(n_{P},n_{N})}_{-} \bullet f^{d,d,u}_{N} \quad (d)$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$1$$

$$2$$

$$3$$

$$4$$

red: Recursion for the list L. blue: Recursion for the tensor  $F_{-}$ .  $n_N$ 

Strategy of computation Comparison with naïve method

#### Recursive relations for nuclei

How many components can  $F_{-}$  have for a given nucleus?

$$P(n_P, n_N) = C(n_P, D - n_P)C(n_N, D - n_N) C(2n_P + n_N, 3D - 2n_P - n_N)C(n_P + 2n_N, 3D - n_P - 2n_N),$$

 $C(n_1, n_2, \dots) = \frac{(n_1+n_2+\dots)!}{n_1!n_2!\dots}$ ,  $D \doteq$  effective number of spinor components per quark

$n_P$	È						
	4	$2  imes 10^5$	$8 \times 10^5$	$2 \times 10^5$	3168	1	
	3	$8 \times 10^5$	$1 \times 10^7$	$9  imes 10^6$	$8 \times 10^5$	3168	
	2	$2 \times 10^5$	$9\times 10^6$	$3 \times 10^7$	$9 \times 10^6$	$2 \times 10^5$	
	1	3168	$8  imes 10^5$	$9 \times 10^6$	$1 \times 10^7$	$8 \times 10^5$	
	0	1	3168	$2 \times 10^5$	$8 \times 10^5$	$2 \times 10^5$	
		0	1	2	3	4	
	_					$n_N$	

- This is the maximal number permitted by the antisymmetry structure.
- In practice only a fraction of this number is required.

くぼう くほう くほう

page 14

Strategy of computation Comparison with naïve method

## Recursive relations for nuclei

The actual effort to calculate a given  $F_{-}$  depends on the chosen path.



The red path is less efficient then the green one.

In general path which add first all baryons of one type and then the baryons of the other type are advantageous.

## Recursive calculation of several nuclei

If one wants to calculate the correlation function of more then one nuclei it can be advantageous to combine the recursive operations:



# Comparison with naïve method

#### Relativistic Operators, 1 Quark source:

	N <sub>P</sub>	N <sub>N</sub>	No. of op.	Naïve No. of op.	η
<sup>3</sup> He	2	1	19241280	$5.5 imes10^{11}$	$2.9  imes 10^4$
<sup>4</sup> He	2	2	531321120	$5.7\times10^{16}$	$1.1  imes 10^8$
<sup>6</sup> Li	3	3	2905079520	$4.9\times10^{27}$	$1.7  imes 10^{18}$
<sup>7</sup> Li	3	4	404946240	$3.0\times10^{33}$	$7.5\times10^{24}$
( <sup>8</sup> Be)	4	4	448496928	$2.8\times10^{39}$	$6.2\times10^{30}$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Strategy of computation Comparison with naïve method

#### Comparing with unified contraction algorithm

Relativistic Operators, 1 Quark source:

	N <sub>P</sub>	N <sub>N</sub>	$\eta$
<sup>3</sup> He	2	1	3.7
<sup>4</sup> He	2	2	41
<sup>6</sup> Li	3	3	17500
<sup>7</sup> Li	3	4	566000
( <sup>8</sup> Be)	4	4	$1.6 imes10^7$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

# Conclusion

- An algorithm was presented that can efficiently calculate the correlation function of multi-baryon-systems.
- Several quark sources can be used.
- The projection to certain spin-states can reduce the computational effort.
- Baryons blocks can be projected to definite momentum prior to the construction of the correlation functions.
- Atomic nuclei as a special case where discussed in detail.

#### Thank you!

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2

## More quark sources

The usage of several quark sources can be implemented via

$$\begin{split} \tilde{G}^{B}(\chi;\xi^{(q_{1})},\xi^{(q_{2})},\xi^{(q_{3})}) &= \delta^{s(\chi),s(\xi^{(q_{1})})}\delta^{s(\chi),s(\xi^{(q_{2})})}\delta^{s(\chi),s(\xi^{(q_{3})})} \\ &\cdot G^{B}(\alpha(\chi);\kappa(\xi^{(q_{1})}),\kappa(\xi^{(q_{2})}),\kappa(\xi^{(q_{3})})), \\ \tilde{f}^{q_{1},q_{2},q_{3}}_{B}(t,\psi;\xi^{(q_{1})},\xi^{(q_{2})},\xi^{(q_{3})}) &= \sum_{\vec{x}} s_{s(\psi)}(\vec{x}) \left\langle B_{\alpha(\psi)}(\vec{x},t) \cdot q_{1}^{\xi^{(q_{1})}}q_{2}^{\xi^{(q_{2})}}q_{3}^{\xi^{(q_{3})}} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

where

• 
$$s(\xi) \stackrel{\circ}{=}$$
source-/sink-part of  $\xi$ .

• 
$$\kappa(\xi) \triangleq$$
 spinor-color-part of  $\xi$ .

The recursive algorithm can be applied in the same way then before the introduction off additional quark sources.

医下颌 医下