## Discretization Effects in the $\epsilon$ Domain of QCD

Jacobus Verbaarschot

jacobus.verbaarschot @stonybrook.edu

Stony Brook University

Lattice 2013, July 2013

Discretization Effects – p. 1/3

# Acknowledgments

Collaborators: Gernot Akemann (Brunel / NBIA) Poul Damgaard (NBIA) Mario Kieburg (Stony Brook) Kim Splittorff (NBI) Savvas Zafeiropoulos (Stony Brook)

# **Relevant Papers**

P.H. Damgaard, K. Splittorff and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Microscopic Spectrum of the Wilson Dirac Operator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010)

G. Akemann, P.H. Damgaard, K. Splittorff and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Spectrum of the Wilson Dirac Operator at Finite Lattice Spacing, Phys. Rev. D83 (2011).

K. Splittorff and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, The Wilson Dirac Spectrum for QCD with Dynamical Quarks, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011 [arXiv:1104.6229 [hep-lat]].

K. Splittorff and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, The Microscopic Twisted Mass Dirac Spectrum, Phys. Rev. D8 5 (2012) 105008, arXiv:1201.1361 [hep-lat].

M. Kieburg, J. J. M. Verbaarschot, S. Zafeiropoulos Eigenvalue Density of the Non-Hermitian Wilson Dirac Operator, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 022001 arXiv:1109.0656 [hep-lat].

M. Kieburg, K. Splittorff and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, The Realization of the Sharpe-Singleton Scenario, Phys. Rev. **D**85(2012) 094011 [arXiv:1202.0620 [hep-lat]].

M. Kieburg, S. Saveiropoulos and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Spectral Properties of the Wilson Dirac Operator and RMT, arXiv:1307.7251

# Contents

- I. Motivation
- II. Discretization Effects of the Wilson Dirac Operator
- III. Discretization effects of the Overlap Dirac Operator
- IV. Conclusions

# I. Motivation



Distribution of the smallest eigenvalue of the Hermitian Wilson Dirac operator on a  $64 \times 32^3$  lattice for two different values of the quark mass.

Del Debbio-Giusti-Lüscher-Petronzio-Tantalo-2005



# Scaling of the width of the distribution.

Del Debbio-Giusti-Lüscher-Petronzio-Tantalo-2005

Can we understand this scaling behavior?

#### I. Discretization Effects of the Wilson Dirac Operator

**Chiral Lagrangian** 

**Random Matrix Theory** 

**Constraints on Low Energy Constants** 

First Order Scenario

# Chiral Lagrangian in the $\epsilon$ Domain

Chiral Lagrangian for Wilson Fermions

$$-\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}mV\Sigma \text{Tr}(U+U^{\dagger}) - \frac{1}{2}zV\Sigma \text{Tr}(U-U^{\dagger}) -a^{2}VW_{6}[\text{Tr}(U+U^{\dagger})]^{2} - a^{2}VW_{7}[\text{Tr}(U-U^{\dagger})]^{2} - a^{2}VW_{8}\text{Tr}(U^{2}+U^{-2}).$$

Sharpe-Singleton-1998, Rupak-Shoresh-2002, Bär-Rupak-Shoresh-2004,

Damgaard-Splittorff-JV- 2010

Partition function for fixed index

$$Z_{\nu} = \int_{U \in U(N_f)} dU \det^{\nu} U e^{-\int d^4 x \mathcal{L}}.$$

► For twisted mass fermions the mass term is replaced by

$$\frac{i}{2}\mu V\Sigma \mathrm{Tr}\tau_3(U-U^{\dagger}).$$

#### Random Matrix Theory for the Wilson Dirac Operator

Since the chiral Lagrangian is determined uniquely by symmetries, in the microscopic domain it also can be obtained from a random matrix theory with the same symmetries. In the sector of index  $\nu$  the random matrix partition function is given by

$$Z_{N_f}^{\nu} = \int dA dB dW \det^{N_f} (D_W + m + z\gamma_5) P(D_W),$$

with

$$D_W = \begin{pmatrix} aA & C+aD \\ -C^{\dagger}+aD^{\dagger} & aB \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\dagger} = A, \qquad B^{\dagger} = B.$$

A is a square matrix of size  $n \times n$ , and B is a square matrix of size  $(n + \nu) \times (n + \nu)$ . The matrices C and D are complex  $n \times (n + \nu)$  matrices. Damgaard-Splittorff-JV-2010

#### **Eigenvalue Fluctuations and Low Energy Constants**

- Since the QCD partition function is the average of a determinant, eigenvalue fluctuations determine the low-energy constants.
- Which spectral fluctuations are responsible for  $W_6$  and  $W_7$  and  $W_8$  ?
- We will find that the interpretation of the low energy constants in terms of eigenvalue fluctuations will impose constraints on the value of the constants.

For a = 0 the eigenvalue density of  $D_5$  can be decomposed as

 $\rho_5^{\nu}(\lambda) = \nu \delta(\lambda - m) + \rho_{\lambda > m}(\lambda).$ 

For  $a \neq 0$  the width of the peak at  $\lambda = m$  becomes finite.

For  $\nu = 1$  and small *a* the result is given by (see red curve in figure)

$$\rho_{5,\text{topo}}^{\nu=1}(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{V\Sigma^2(x-m)^2}{16a^2(W_8 - W_6 - W_7)}}}{4a\sqrt{\pi V(W_8 - W_6 - W_7)}}$$

Akemann-Damgaard-Splittorff-JV-2010,Kieburg-Zafeiropoulos-JV-2013

►  $W_8 - W_6 - W_7 > 0$ . This also follows from the positivity of the two-flavor partition function at fixed  $\nu$ .



#### **Random Mass and Trace Squared Terms**

For  $W_6 < 0$  we have

$$e^{-a^2 V W_6 \operatorname{Tr}^2(U+U^{-1})} \sim \int dy e^{-y^2/(16V|W_6|a^2) - \frac{1}{2}y \operatorname{Tr}(U+U^{-1})}.$$

For  $W_7 < 0$  we have

$$e^{-a^2 V W_7 \operatorname{Tr}^2(U+U^{-1})} \sim \int dy e^{-y^2/(16V|W_7|a^2) - \frac{1}{2}y \operatorname{Tr}(U-U^{-1})}.$$



The trace square terms are generated by a random mass or the real part of the eigenvalues of  $D_W$  (for  $W_6$ ) or a chiral random mass or the eigenvalues of  $D_5 \equiv \gamma_5 D_W$  (for  $W_7$ ).

Kieburg-Spittorff-JV-2012

# Collective Spectral Fluctuations of $D_W$





Collective fluctuations of the eigenvalues of  $D_5$  generate a nonzero negative value of  $W_7$ .

Collective fluctuations of the real part of the eigenvalues of  $D_W$  generate a nonzero negative value of  $W_6$ .

Kieburg-Splittorff-JV-2012

- In lattice simulations one does not expect global collective fluctuations. At most I would expect collective fluctuations on the microscopic scale.
- Are there other eigenvalue fluctuations that can give rise to a nonzero  $W_6$  and  $W_7$ ?

# **Signs of Low-Energy Constants**

▶  $W_8 > 0$  independent of the value of  $W_6$  and  $W_7$ .

Akemann-Damgaard-Splittorf-JV-2010, Hansen-Sharpe-2011

- ► Positivity of the QCD partition function requires that  $W_8 W_6 W_7 > 0$ .
- Interpretation in terms of eigenvalue fluctuations requires that  $W_6 < 0$ ,  $W_7 < 0$ .

• Twisted mass Wilson fermions lattice simulations find  $m_0^{PS} < m_+^{PS}$ 

# **First Order Scenario**



*Effective potential for the order parameter.* In the first order scenario (left) there is an effective potential potential between the two minima while in the usual case the effective potential is only slightly tilted by the quark mass.

In terms of the chiral Lagrangian a first order scenario takes place if  $2W_6 + W_8 < 0$  when there is a potential barrier between the minima.

Sharpe-Singleton-2004

# **First Order Scenario and Dirac Spectra**

- Because the Wilson Dirac operator in neither Hermitian nor anti-Hermitian its eigenvalues can move in the complex plane.
- Because of the fermion determinant they will be repelled from the quark mass.
- The finite jump of the Dirac spectrum results in a first order phase transition.



The fuzzy string of eigenvalues is repelled from the mass, m, which results in a first order phase transition.

# Predictions

Pion mass

Sharpe-Singleton-2004, Münster-2004

$$m_{\pi}^2 = \frac{2|m|\Sigma - 16(W_8 + 2W_6)a^2}{F_{\pi}^2}$$

When  $W_8 + 2W_6 < 0$  we have a minimum pion mass. This has been observed in lattice simulations with twisted mass fermions. Jansen-etal-2005



The minimum pion mass is O(a) .

The first order scenario has only been observed for dynamical Wilson quarks, whereas the Aoki phase has been found both in the quenched case and in the case with dynamical Wilson quarks.

#### III. Discretization Effects for the Overlap Dirac Operator

Overlap Dirac Operator at  $\mu = 0$ 

Overlap Dirac Operator at  $\mu \neq 0$ 

# **Overlap Dirac Operator at** a = 0

The overlap Dirac operator

$$D_{\rm ov} = 1 + \gamma_5 U {\rm sign}(D_5) U^{-1}, \qquad D_5 = D_W + m \gamma_5.$$

Narayanan-Neuberger-1994,1995, Neuberger-1998, Edwards-Heller-Narayanan-1999

- Looks drastic to replace the eigenvalues by their sign, but at zero lattice spacing this is actually exact.
- ► The eigenvectors contain the information on the eigenvalues.

$$D_5 = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m & \lambda_k \\ \lambda_k & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Complete diagonalization by an additional rotation with  $\tan 2\phi_k = \lambda_k/m$ .

# **Overlap Dirac Operator at** a = 0

- The projected eigenvalues are given by a smooth function of  $\lambda_k/m$  .
- At nonzero lattice spacing overlap eigenvalues are expected to have correlations that differ by O(a) or O(a<sup>2</sup>) terms from the continuum limit.
- What happens to eigenvalue correlation of when the Wilson Dirac operator is in the Aoki phase?

# **Large Mass RMT Overlap Dirac Operator at** $a \neq 0$



The spectral density of the projected overlap Dirac operator for a = 0.3, m = 100 and index  $\nu = 0$  and  $\nu = 1$ . The black curve shows the analytical result and the red and blue curve the result from the computed eigenvalues. The grey curve is the mean field result for the spectral density.

#### **Global Spectral Density of the Overlap Dirac Operator at** $a \neq 0$



Global behavior of the spectral density of the projected overlap Dirac operator for m = 0.2 and a = 0.05, a = 0.15, a = 0.24, a = 0.35, a = 0.45, a = 0.55(from top to bottom). The critical value for the transition to the Aoki phase is a = 0.35 (green curve). The curves have been rescaled to have the same small x behavior, separately for the normal phase and the Aoki phase.

#### **Microscopic Spectral Density Overlap Dirac Operator at** $a \neq 0$



The spectral density of the projected overlap Dirac spectra for m = 0.2 and a = 0.05, a = 0.15, a = 0.24, a = 0.35, a = 0.45, a = 0.55 (from top to bottom). The critical value for the transition to the Aoki phase is a = 0.35 (green curve). The curves have been rescaled to have the same small x behavior, separately for the normal phase and the Aoki phase.

#### **Unfolded Spectral Density of the Overlap Dirac Operator at** $a \neq 0$



Comparison of the spectral density of the overlap Dirac operator for a = 0.15and m = 0.2 and the analytical chiral random matrix theory result. The unfolded eigenvalues are shown right. Dirac operator

$$D_W(\mu, a) = \begin{pmatrix} m + aA & W + \mu \\ -W^{\dagger} + \mu & m + aB \end{pmatrix}.$$

Bloch-Wettig Overlap Dirac operator

Bloch-Wettig-2006

 $D_{\rm ov}(\mu, a) = 1 + \gamma_5 V \operatorname{sign}(\gamma_5 D_W(a, mu) V^{-1}.$ 

Sign is determined by the imaginary part of the eigenvalues

 $\blacktriangleright$  V is not unitary.

For a=0 , the Dirac operator  $\gamma_5 D_W$  can be written in the form

$$\gamma_5 D_W = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m & X \\ Y & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & U_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with X and Y triangular matrices, and U and V unitary. We diagonalize the matrix inbetween

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & X \\ Y & -m \end{pmatrix} = V^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m^2 + xy} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{m^2 + xy} \end{pmatrix} V.$$

For large m

$$V = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -X/2m \\ Y/2m & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

# Large Mass Limit of Overlap Dirac Operator Nonzero $\mu$ and a

Overlap Dirac operator (up to unitary transformation)

$$D_{\rm ov} = 1 - \gamma_5 V^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -X/m \\ Y/m & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Eigenvalues of the overlap Dirac operator

$$\lambda_k = \pm i \frac{\sqrt{x_k y_k}}{m},$$

which differ by a factor 1/m from the original Dirac operator.

At finite *a* we again expect O(a) or  $O(a^2)$  corrections.

# Global Spectral Density of the Overlap Dirac Operator at Nonzero $\mu$ and a



Spectral density  $\int dx \rho(x, y)$  as a function of y of the overlap Dirac operator for  $\mu = 0.1$  (left) and  $\mu = 0.3$  (right) and lattice spacing equal to a = 0 (red), a = 0.2 (blue), a = 0.5 (grey) and a = 1 (magenta), and m = 1.

Contrary to the lattice spacing, the chemical potential does not have a large effect on the ultraviolet part of the Dirac spectrum.

#### **Infrared Part of the Dirac Spectrum**



- Eigenvalue fluctuations do not depend on the lattice spacing (after rescaling) if the quark mass is outside of the Aoki domain.
- The scale factors do not depend on μ, and the deformed Dirac spectrum at nonzero a only gives rise to μ -independent overall factor.

#### **Microscopic Spectral Density of Overlap Dirac Operator at** $\mu \neq 0$



Microscopic spectral density for a = 0.2 (red) and a = 0.5 (blue) compared to the analytical result for a = 0 for  $\mu = 0.1$  (left) and  $\mu = 0.3$  (right).

# **IV. Conclusions**

We have seen that the interpretation of low-energy constants of Wilson Chiral perturbation theory in terms of eigenvalue fluctuations imposes constraints on these constants.

# **IV. Conclusions**

- We have seen that the interpretation of low-energy constants of Wilson Chiral perturbation theory in terms of eigenvalue fluctuations imposes constraints on these constants.
- The first order scenario has been understood in terms of collective eigenvalue fluctuations of  $D_W$ .

# **IV. Conclusions**

- We have seen that the interpretation of low-energy constants of Wilson Chiral perturbation theory in terms of eigenvalue fluctuations imposes constraints on these constants.
- The first order scenario has been understood in terms of collective eigenvalue fluctuations of  $D_W$ .
- The overlap operator is very robust against discretization effects due to the Wilson term as long as the quark mass is outside the Aoki phase. This is also the case for the overlap Dirac operator and nonzero chemical potential.