Conclusions

The Roberge-Weiss transition from $N_f = 2$ QCD with Wilson fermions

Christopher Pinke

with Owe Philipsen



Institute for Theoretical Physics Goethe-University Frankfurt

HGS-HIRe for FAIL



31st Symposium on Lattice Field Theory Mainz, July 29, 2013

C. Pinke (GU Frankfurt)

1

RW Endpoint

Conclusions



QCD phase diagram

QCD at imaginary μ

Roberge-Weiss symmetry

Endpoint of Roberge-Weiss transition for $N_f = 2$ QCD

Summary & Perspectives

QCD phase diagram



Sign-Problem:

 $\det(D+\mu)^* = \det(D-\mu^*)$

Solutions: Reweighting, Taylor-Series, Complex Langevin...

Imaginary μ

RW Endpoint

Conclusions

Roberge-Weiss symmetry

Roberge & Weiss, Nucl. Phys. B 275, 734 (1986)

At imaginary μ , QCD has the symmetries:

 $Z(\mu) = Z(-\mu)$ $Z(\mu/T) = Z(\mu/T + i rac{2\pi n}{N_c}), n \in \mathbb{N}$

Phases of Polyakov-Loop cycle through different $\mathbb{Z}(N_c)$ sectors:

$$L(x) = \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{\tau=1}^{N_\tau} U_0(x) = |L| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi}$$

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = n (2\pi/N_c), n = 0, 1..., N_c - 1$$



de Forcrand & Philipsen, PRL 105 152001 (2010), D'Elia & Sanfilippo, PRD 80 (2009)



RW Symmetry

4



Conclusions





5

Nature of RW endpoint at $\mu = i\pi T$

based on staggered studies, $N_{\tau} = 4$ de Forcrand & Philipsen, PRL 105 152001 (2010), D'Elia & Sanfilippo, PRD 80 (2009)





Conclusions

Extended Columbia Plot

based on staggered studies, $N_{ au}=4$

de Forcrand & Philipsen, PRL 105 152001 (2010), D'Elia & Sanfilippo, PRD80 (2009)



Rich phase structure Constraints on QCD phase diagram

 \rightarrow Talk by O. Philipsen (8A) ($N_f = 2$)

RW endpoint with Wilson fermions

- Studies done at $\mu = i\pi T$ and $N_{\tau} = 4$ for various κ and N_{σ}
- $\mathcal{O}(10) \beta$ for each (κ, N_{σ})
- Employ reweighting Ferrenberg & Swendsen, PRL 63, 1195 (1989)
- Simulations carried out with OpenCL-based CL²QCD

Bach, Lindenstruth, Philipsen & Pinke [arXiv:1209.5942] \rightarrow talk by M. Bach (4G)

Related work:

Heavy-Quark effective lattice theory predicts:

Fromm, Langelage, Lottini & Philipsen [arXiv:1111.4953]

 $\kappa_{tric}^{\text{heavy}} = 0.1048 \pm 0.0008$

 \rightarrow Talks by J. Langelage & M. Neuman (7A)

• Study by Wu & Meng [arXiv:1303.0336]: Triple points for $\kappa \geq 0.155$

RW endpoint with Wilson fermions

Binder-Cumulant:

$$B_{4}(\text{L.Im}) = \frac{\langle (\text{L.Im} - \langle \text{L.Im} \rangle)^{4} \rangle}{\langle (\text{L.Im} - \langle \text{L.Im} \rangle)^{2} \rangle^{2}} \underbrace{V \to \infty}_{3} \begin{cases} 1.5\\ 1.604\\ 2\\ 3 \end{cases}$$

1.51. order triple1.6042. order (3D Ising)2tricritical3crossover

Finite Size scaling: Close to the RW-endpoint, B_4 scales with critical exponent ν :

 $B_4(\beta, N_{\sigma}) = B_4(\beta, \infty) + a_1(\beta - \beta_c)N_{\sigma}^{1/\nu} + a_2((\beta - \beta_c)N_{\sigma}^{1/\nu})^2 + \dots$

Finite size scaling analysis







Conclusions

Summary

- No sign problem at imaginary μ , HMC applicable
- QCD phase diagram constrained by imaginary μ region
- Started studies to map out N_f = 2 phase diagram at Roberge-Weiss value of μ using Wilson fermions
- Qualitative agreement with staggered results
- Quantitative agreement of κ_{tric}^{heavy} with effective theory

Perspectives

- Simulate at smaller masses
- Aoki phase at imaginary μ?