# COMPOSITE DARK MATTER EXCLUSIONS FROM THE LATTICE







#### Michael I. Buchoff Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Special Thanks: Graham Kribs Primary contributors: Sergey Syritsyn Ethan Neil

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

LLNL-PRES-595392



### Lattice Strong Dynamics Collaboration



James Osborn Heechang Na



Mike Buchoff Chris Schroeder Pavlos Vranas Joe Wasem

Joe Kiskis



**Rich Brower** Michael Cheng Claudio Rebbi **Oliver Witzel** 



**David Schaich** 





Ethan Neil

Sergey Syritsyn



Tom Appelquist George Fleming Meifeng Lin **Gennady Voronov** 

Saul Cohen

# **A SLICE OF THE UNIVERSE**







#### How do we know DM is there?



Rotation Curves of Galaxies

Gravitational Lensing



Cosmological Backgrounds



# THREE PRIMARY PROPERTIES OF DARK MATTER

#### 1. Candidate should be Stable

- Explains why dark matter has survived to today

Implies a new symmetry and/or charge

2. Candidate should be EW Charge Neutral

- Explains why there is no visible evidence

Implies lightest stable particle is chargeless

3. Candidate should explain observed relic density

$$\rho_D \sim 0.2 \ \rho_c$$

How can this come about?

# THERMAL RELIC



One approach to DM theories:

Choose DM Mass Choose DM Interactions



 $\rho_D \sim 0.2 \ \rho_c$ 

"WIMP Miracle"

Assume Interactions at/near EW Scale





### **AN ASYMMETRIC ALTERNATIVE?**

S.Nussinov (1985)

R.S.Chivukula, T.P.Walker (1990)

D.B.Kaplan (1992)

Observe a different relation:

 $\rho_D \sim 5\rho_B$  $M_D n_D \sim 5M_B n_B$ 

### **AN ASYMMETRIC ALTERNATIVE?**

S.Nussinov (1985)

R.S.Chivukula, T.P.Walker (1990)

D.B.Kaplan (1992)

Observe a different relation:







# THERMAL VS. ASYMMETRIC

#### However:

#### Asymmetric relic density suggests negligible thermal abundance



Tricky to achieve for perturbative, elementary DM

Strongly-coupled composite theories most interesting... ...this is where the lattice can play significant role!

### **ASYMMETRIC MODELS**

We Want:

★ Lightest stable composite chargeless (EM + weak) **★** Constituents that communicate with electroweak **Direct:** Subset of constituents that are non-singlet under  $SU(2)_L$ Indirect: Bai, Neutral, but couples to heavy, Schwaller charged particles yet to be observed 2013

**BARYON FLAVOR SYMMETRY** Invariant under  $SU(N_f)$  transformations

#### ★ Flavor Non-symmetric Example: (3-color neutron ala QCD)



 $Q_u = Q_d$ or  $Q_u \neq Q_d$ 

★ Flavor Symmetric Example: (4-color neutron)



 $Q_u = -Q_d$ only

# HOW WE MIGHT SEE IT?

Dim-5  $\overline{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi F_{\mu\nu}$ 

 $(\overline{\psi}\psi)v_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ 

Dim-6

Magnetic Moment

Odd Nc No baryon flavor sym.

Odd Nc Baryon flavor sym.

Even Nc No Baryon flavor sym.

Even Nc Baryon flavor sym.



Charge Radius

V



 $(\overline{\psi}\psi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ 

Polarizability











### FOCUS OF CURRENT WORK

#### Direct detection exclusions for odd number of colors

#### Explore:

3-colors
Multiple degenerate masses
2 and 6 light flavors

Explores a range of confining theories for odd Nc theory

### FOCUS OF CURRENT WORK

#### Direct detection exclusions for odd number of colors



Explores a range of confining theories for odd Nc theory





$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{Q^4} \mathcal{L}_A^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_B^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N_X} \sum_{X X'} \langle X | J_{em}^{\mu} | X' \rangle \langle X' | J_{em}^{\nu} | X \rangle$$



$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{Q^4} \mathcal{L}_A^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_B^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N_X} \sum_{X,X'} \langle X|J_{em}^{\mu}|X'\rangle \langle X'|J_{em}^{\nu}|X\rangle$$

Spin-0:  $\mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = 4F^2(Q^2)\bar{p}^{\mu}\bar{p}^{\nu}$ 

 $\bar{p}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(p'+p)^{\mu}$ 



$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{Q^4} \mathcal{L}_A^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_B^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N_X} \sum_{X,X'} \langle X|J_{em}^{\mu}|X'\rangle \langle X'|J_{em}^{\nu}|X\rangle$$

Spin-0:  $\mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = 4F^2(Q^2)\bar{p}^{\mu}\bar{p}^{\nu}$   $\bar{p}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(p'+p)^{\mu}$ 

Spin-1/2:  $\mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = 4\bar{p}^{\mu}\bar{p}^{\nu}(F_{1X}^2 + \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}F_{2X}^2) - (Q^2g^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu}q^{\nu})(F_{1X} + F_{2X})^2$ 



$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{e^4}{Q^4} \mathcal{L}_A^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_B^{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{N_X} \sum_{X,X'} \langle X|J_{em}^{\mu}|X'\rangle \langle X'|J_{em}^{\nu}|X\rangle$$

Spin-0:  $\mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = 4F^2(Q^2)\bar{p}^{\mu}\bar{p}^{\nu}$   $\bar{p}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(p'+p)^{\mu}$ 

Spin-1/2:  $\mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = 4\bar{p}^{\mu}\bar{p}^{\nu}(F_{1X}^2 + \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}F_{2X}^2) - (Q^2g^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu}q^{\nu})(F_{1X} + F_{2X})^2$ Large nucleus:  $\mathcal{L}_X^{\mu\nu} = 4W_{2X}(Q^2, q \cdot p)\bar{p}^{\mu}\bar{p}^{\nu} - W_{1X}(Q^2, q \cdot p)(Q^2g^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu}q^{\nu})$ 

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} + \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2}}{16\pi(M_{\chi} + M_T)^2 E_R^{\rm max}}$ 

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} + \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2}}{16\pi(M_{\chi} + M_T)^2 E_R^{\rm max}}$ 

 $E_R^{\max} = \frac{2M_{\chi}^2 M_T v^2}{(M_{\chi} + M_T)^2}$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} + \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2}}{16\pi(M_{\chi} + M_T)^2 E_R^{\rm max}}$$

$$E_R^{\max} = \frac{2M_{\chi}^2 M_T v^2}{(M_{\chi} + M_T)^2}$$

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} = e^4 \left[ ZF_c(Q) \right]^2 \left( \frac{M_T}{M_{\chi}} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{4}{9} M_{\chi}^4 \langle r_{E\chi}^2 \rangle^2 + \kappa_{\chi}^2 \left( 1 + \frac{M_{\chi}}{M_T} \right)^2 \left( \frac{E_R^{\rm max}}{E_R} - 1 \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} + \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2}}{16\pi(\underline{M_{\chi}} + M_T)^2 E_R^{\rm max}}$$

$$E_R^{\max} = \frac{2M_{\chi}^2 M_T v^2}{(M_{\chi} + M_T)^2}$$

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} = e^4 \left[ ZF_c(Q) \right]^2 \left( \frac{M_T}{M_{\chi}} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{4}{9} M_{\chi}^4 \langle r_{E\chi}^2 \rangle^2 + \kappa_{\chi}^2 \left( 1 + \frac{M_{\chi}}{M_T} \right)^2 \left( \frac{E_R^{\rm max}}{E_R} - 1 \right) \right]$$
$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2} = e^4 \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{J+1}{J} \right) \left[ \left( A \frac{\mu_T}{\mu_n} \right) F_s(Q) \right]^2 \kappa_{\chi}^2$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} = \frac{\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} + \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2}}{16\pi(\underline{M_{\chi}} + M_T)^2 E_R^{\rm max}}$$

$$E_R^{\max} = \frac{2M_\chi^2 M_T v^2}{(M_\chi + M_T)^2}$$

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SI}|^2} = e^4 \left[ ZF_c(Q) \right]^2 \left( \frac{M_T}{M_{\chi}} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{4}{9} M_{\chi}^4 \langle r_{E\chi}^2 \rangle^2 + \kappa_{\chi}^2 \left( 1 + \frac{M_{\chi}}{M_T} \right)^2 \left( \frac{E_R^{\rm max}}{E_R} - 1 \right) \right]$$
$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{\rm SD}|^2} = e^4 \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{J+1}{J} \right) \left[ \left( A \frac{\mu_T}{\mu_n} \right) F_s(Q) \right]^2 \kappa_{\chi}^2$$

$$R = \frac{M_{\text{detector}}}{M_T} \frac{\rho_{\text{DM}}}{M_{\chi}} \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^{E_{\text{max}}} dE_R \,\mathcal{A}cc(E_R) \left\langle v' \,\frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} \right\rangle_f$$

\*Non-perturbative lattice input

 $E_{max}^{Xe} = 30.5 \text{ keV}$ 

Xenon100:

$$E_{min}^{Xe} = 6.6 \text{ keV}$$

### **THREE-POINT OPERATORS**

$$\langle N(p')|\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi|N(p)\rangle = \overline{U}(p')\left[F_1^{\psi}(Q^2)\gamma^{\mu} + F_2^{\psi}(Q^2)\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2M_B}\right]U(p)$$

**Isovector:**  $F_{1,2}^{v}(Q^2) = F_{1,2}^{d}(Q^2) - F_{1,2}^{u}(Q^2)$ 

Isoscalar:  $F_{1,2}^s(Q^2) = F_{1,2}^d(Q^2) + F_{1,2}^u(Q^2)$ 

Neutral FF:  $Q_u = 2/3$   $Q_d = -1/3$ 

$$F_{1,2;\text{neut}}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{6}F_{1,2}^s(Q^2) - \frac{1}{2}F_{1,2}^v(Q^2)$$

 $\kappa_{\text{neut}} = F_{2;\text{neut}}(0) \qquad \langle r_{1;\text{neut}}^2 \rangle = -6 \frac{dF_{1;\text{neut}}(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \Big|_{Q^2=0}$ 

$$\langle r_{E;\text{neut}}^2 \rangle = \langle r_{1;\text{neut}}^2 \rangle + \frac{3\kappa_{\text{neut}}}{2M_B^2}$$

# **THREE-POINT CALCULATION**

 $t = 0 \qquad t = \tau \qquad t = \tau_0$ 

Disconected diagrams omitted in current calculation

2 Propagators

One measurements One time insertion

#### Transverse charge density:

(courtesy of J. Wasem)



## SCALE SETTING

How do we define lattice spacing in physical units?

Lattice QCD: Hadron Masses, HQ potentials, etc. (Example)  $aM_{\Omega} = \#$   $\longrightarrow$   $a \approx \frac{\#}{1670 \text{ MeV}}$ Technicolor: "Higgs" vev  $af_{\pi} \xrightarrow{m_f \to 0} \# \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad a \approx \frac{\#}{246 \text{ GeV}}$ Dark Matter: Dark Matter Mass  $aM_B = \#$   $\longrightarrow$   $a \approx \frac{\#}{M_B}$ 



### SCALE SETTING

How do we define lattice spacing in physical units?

Lattice QCD: Hadron Masses, HQ potentials, etc. (Example)  $aM_{\Omega} = \#$   $\longrightarrow$   $a \approx \frac{\#}{1670 \text{ MeV}}$ Technicolor: "Higgs" vev  $af_{\pi} \xrightarrow{m_f \to 0} \# \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad a \approx \frac{\#}{246 \text{ GeV}}$ Dark Matter: Dark Matter Mass  $aM_B = \#$   $\longrightarrow$   $a \approx \frac{\#}{M_B}$ Vary this value 0 40 50 100 WIMP Mass [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

300 400

### **CALCULATION DETAILS**

**10 DWF Ensembles:** 

-  $32^3 \times 64 \times 16$  lattices

 $am_{\rho} \sim \frac{1}{5}$ 

- 2 flavor:  $m_f = 0.010 0.030$
- 6 flavor:  $m_f = 0.010 0.030$

Table 1: 2 Flavor			Table 1: 6 Flavor			
$m_q$	# Configs	# Meas		$m_q$	# Configs	# Meas
0.010	564	1128	(	).010	221	442
0.015	148	296	(	).015	112	224
0.020	131	262	(	).020	81	162
0.025	67	268	(	).025	89	267
0.030	39	154	(	).030	72	259

### **BARYON MASS**

Red - 2 Flavor Blue - 6 Flavor



### MAGNETIC MOMENT

0.0 -0.5 -1.0 Red - 2 Flavor <sup>x</sup>uent – 1.5 Blue - 6 Flavor -2.0 -2.5 -3.0 1.2 2.0 2.2 1.0 1.6 1.8 1.4  $M_B/M_{B_0}$ 

 $u = \frac{\kappa}{2M_B}$ 

 $\kappa_{\rm neut} = \frac{1}{6}\kappa_s - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_v$ 

### CHARGE RADIUS



### **EXCLUSION PLOTS**



Dashed - Xenon100 PRD 88 014502 (2013)

# FINAL WORD

Based purely on observational DM data:

Composite dark matter is the most "natural"

Lattice can address place initial bounds on models - Tight constraints on odd Nc theories \*Models with QCD charges excluded below 10 TeV - Currently exploring polarizabilities of even Nc theories \*4-color model building underway (Kribs, Neil, MIB) \*4-color baryon simulations in production (LSD)

This research was supported by the LLNL LDRD "Illuminating the Dark Universe with PetaFlops Supercomputing" 13-ERD-023 and by the LLNL Multiprogrammatic and Institutional Computing program through the Tier 1 Grand Challenge award that has provided us with the large amounts of necessary computing power.